

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/695,247	Applicant(s) DAWSON ET AL.	
	Examiner KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK	Art Unit 1631	

All Participants:

(1) KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK.

(2) Richard Danyko.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2010

Time: 10:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

On 20 October 2010, a telephone call was placed to applicant representative, Mr. Richard Danyko, regarding withdrawal of the restriction requirement of 26 May 2006. The examiner suggested reintroducing the subject matter of cancelled claim 12 directed to a method for building a 3D structure of a protein for molecular dynamics simulation. The following amendment was proposed, "A method for building a 3D structure of a protein for molecular dynamics simulation comprising: generating, using a computer, a topology for said protein by the method according to claim 2 or 9; and constructing, using a computer, a 3D structure from the topology." Mr. Danyko indicated the amendment was acceptable.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature — if appropriate)